

MDL NOS. 13-0123, ~~13-0130~~ and 13-0418

§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
§
§
IN RE MARCH 29, 2012 AND APRIL § HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS
20, 2012 HAIL STORM LITIGATION §
§
§
§
§ 206TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ADOPTING SPECIAL MASTER RECOMMENDATION NO. 12 REGARDING
DEFENDANT RANCHERS AND FARMERS'
MOTION TO TEMPORARILY STAY DISCOVERY**

On this day, the Court having received Recommendation No. 12 of Special Master Roberto L. Ramirez Regarding Defendant Ranchers and Farmers' Motion to Temporarily Stay Discovery and Motion for Protective Order, hereby approves such Recommendation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Court adopts Recommendation No. 12 of the Special Master attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED and ENTERED this _____ day of 8/15/2014, 2014.



Hon. Judge Rose Guerra Reyna

Copies To:

Roberto L. Ramirez
J. Steve Mostyn
Richard G. Paxton
April F. Robbins
Richard H. Gateley

rr@theramirezlawfirm.com
steve@mostynlaw.com
rgpaxton@mostynlaw.com
arobbins@belaw.com
rgateley@belaw.com

MDL NOS. 13-0123, 13-0130 and 13-0418

§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
§
IN RE MARCH 29, 2012 AND APRIL §
20, 2012 HAIL STORM LITIGATION § HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS
§
§
§ 206TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RECOMMENDATION NO. 12 OF SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING DEFENDANT RANCHERS AND FARMERS' MOTION TO TEMPORARILY STAY DISCOVERY

Pursuant to my appointment as Special Master in the above-referenced MDL proceedings, I hereby recommend that *Defendant Ranchers and Farmers' Motion to Temporarily Stay Discovery and Motion for Protective Order* be DENIED.

In making this recommendation, I considered the pleadings, exhibits, facts and arguments presented to me by counsel on August 14, 2014. While recognizing this situation presents unique facts, I have made a finding/recommendation on the record that Defendants have not met their burden under Rule 192 to justify issuance of a protective order or a stay of discovery. I have not considered and have made no recommendation on the merits of the Motion to Disqualify. However, Plaintiffs agree not disseminate the deposition testimony or exhibits used during the deposition outside the Mostyn Law Farm pending a ruling by the Court on Defendants' Motion to Disqualify. Nothing in the recommendation prevents Plaintiffs from seeking relief regarding the dissemination of such testimony or exhibits. In the event any party needs to use the deposition testimony or exhibits in filing or responding to any matter before the Court, such filings should be made and considered *in camera* pending further ruling by the Court.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

Exhibit "A"

SIGNED this the 14th day of August, 2014.


ROBERTO L. RAMIREZ
Special Master

AGREED AS TO FORM ONLY:

THE MOSTYN LAW FIRM

/s/ Richard G. Paxton

J. Steve Mostyn
Texas State Bar No. 00798389
Richard G. Paxton
Texas State Bar No. 24000558
3810 West Alabama Street
Houston, Texas 77027
(713)861-6616 - Telephone
(713)861-8084 - Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

BRACKETT & ELLIS, P.C.

/s/ Richard H. Gateley

April F. Robbins
Texas State Bar No. 16983470
Richard H. Gateley
Texas State Bar No. 07752500
100 Main Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(817) 338-1700 - Telephone
(956) 870-2265 - Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS