

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED and ENTERED this _____ day of _____, 2015.



Hon. Judge Rose Guerra Reyna

CCS:

Roberto L. Ramirez
John Mostyn
John Mostyn
Victor Vicinaiz
Brian Chandler
Steve Mostyn
Richard Paxton
Steve Mostyn
Zuleida Lopez-Habbouche
J MOSTYN
Rene Oliveira, Jr.

rr@theramirezlawfirm.com
JSMLitDocket@mostynlaw.com
easkinner@mostynlaw.com
vvicinaiz@roflp.com
bmc@ramey-chandler.com
jsmostyn@mostynlaw.com
rgpaxton@mostynlaw.com
JSMLitDocket@mostynlaw.com
zlopez@roflp.com
jmldocketfile@mostynlaw.com
roliveirajr@roflp.com

EXHIBIT "A"

CAUSE NO. C-1220-13-D

BILLY D. PRICE AND DOROTHY M. PRICE,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

GERMANIA INSURANCE COMPANY, TAILORED ADJUSTMENT SERVICES, INC. AND DANNY RAY ROBINSON,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

206TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CAUSE NO. C-4382-13-A

MARIA G. ARTEAGA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

GERMANIA FARM MUTUAL INSURANCE ASSOCIATION, THE LITTLETON GROUP, KENNETH ALLAN DEMASTER, AND RANDY ARRIS,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS


206TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RECOMMENDATION NO. 20 OF SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITIONS OF BRADFORD SPRADLEY AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO QUASH PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TAKE THE ORAL AND VIDEO DEPOSITION OF BRADFORD SPRADLEY

Pursuant to my appointment as Special Master in the MDL that encompasses the above-referenced cases, I considered *Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Depositions of Bradford Spradley and Response to Defendants' Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Notice of Intention to Take the Oral and Video Deposition of Bradford Spradley* in the above-referenced cases and Defendants' responses thereto, as well as the arguments of counsel and the evidence presented at the May 19, 2015 conference/hearing conducted with the parties' counsel, as well as the May 21, 2015 teleconference hearing. Defendants quashed the deposition of Bradford Spradley on the grounds

that his testimony is not relevant to the above-referenced cases and that it would be cumulative, duplicative, or burdensome. After consideration, I find that the testimony of Bradford Spradley in the above-referenced cases is relevant and appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. I hereby recommend that *Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Depositions of Bradford Spradley and Response to Defendants' Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Notice of Intention to Take the Oral and Video Deposition of Bradford Spradley* should be **GRANTED**.

Signed this 23RD day of July, 2015.



Roberto L. Ramirez
Special Master