

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT  
SINGLE AUDIT  
DECEMBER 31, 2014



**Burton McCumber & Cortez, L.L.P.**  
Certified Public Accountants & Management Consultants

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS'  
REPORT

SINGLE AUDIT

December 31, 2014



**Burton McCumber & Cortez, L.L.P.**  
Certified Public Accountants & Management Consultants

205 Pecan Boulevard  
McAllen, Texas 78501  
Telephone 956/618-2300  
Facsimile 956/618-2333  
www.bmctexas.com

Offices In:  
Brownsville  
McAllen  
Matamoros  
Reynosa

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

The Honorable Commissioners' Court  
And Honorable District Judges  
County of Hidalgo, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of County of Hidalgo, Texas (the County), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated July 24, 2015.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a material weakness. [2014-002]

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be significant deficiencies. [2014-001, 2014-003, 2014-004].

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain other matters that we reported to management of the County in a separate letter dated July 24, 2015.

### **The County's Response to Findings**

The County's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Benton McCall & Co., LLP*

McAllen, Texas  
July 24, 2015



**Burton McCumber & Cortez, L.L.P.**  
Certified Public Accountants & Management Consultants

205 Pecan Boulevard  
McAllen, Texas 78501  
Telephone 956/618-2300  
Facsimile 956/618-2333  
www.bmctexas.com

Offices In:  
Brownsville  
McAllen  
Matamoros  
Reynosa

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM  
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY  
OMB CIRCULAR A-133**

The Honorable Commissioners' Court  
And Honorable District Judges  
County of Hidalgo, Texas

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the County of Hidalgo, Texas' (the County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

### ***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-006, 2014-007, and 2014-008. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The County's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-005 and 2014-009 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

The County's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated July 24, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Burton McCall & Co., LLP*

McAllen, Texas  
July 24, 2015



**Burton McCumber & Cortez, L.L.P.**  
Certified Public Accountants & Management Consultants

205 Pecan Boulevard  
McAllen, Texas 78501  
Telephone 956/618-2300  
Facsimile 956/618-2333  
www.bmctexas.com

Offices In:  
Brownsville  
McAllen  
Matamoros  
Reynosa

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133 AND THE STATE OF TEXAS UNIFORM GRANT MANAGEMENT STANDARDS CHAPTER IV TEXAS STATE SINGLE AUDIT CIRCULAR**

The Honorable Commissioners' Court  
And Honorable District Judges  
County of Hidalgo, Texas

**Report on Compliance for Each Major State Program**

We have audited the County of Hidalgo, Texas' (the County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV *Texas State Single Audit Circular* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The County's major state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its state programs.

***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the provisions of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations* and, State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV *Texas State Single Audit Circular*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

### ***Opinion on Each Major State Program***

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major state programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV State of *Texas Single Audit Circular*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards Required by the State of Texas Single Audit Circular**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and have issued our report thereon dated July 24, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV State of *Texas Single Audit Circular*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and

certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of state awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over and the results of our testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards Chapter IV State of *Texas Single Audit Circular*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Burton McLeh & Co., LLP*

McAllen, Texas  
July 24, 2015

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>FEDERAL AWARDS</b>						
<b>PRIMARY GOVERNMENT</b>						
	<u>U.S. Department of Agriculture</u>					
	<u>Passed Through the Texas Department of State Health Services</u>					
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	\$ 7,587,838	\$ -	\$ 7,587,838	\$ -
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	2,428,879	-	2,428,879	-
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	481,889	-	481,889	-
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	157,052	-	157,052	-
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	39,038	-	39,038	-
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	14,557	-	14,557	-
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	58,029	-	58,029	-
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	18,084	-	18,084	-
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	13,225	-	13,225	-
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	8,751	-	8,751	-
2014-045054	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	149,544	-	149,544	-
2015-047381	Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	59,684	-	59,684	-
			<u>11,028,168</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>11,028,168</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Passed Through Texas Department of State Health Services</b>		<b>11,028,168</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>11,028,168</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Agriculture</b>		<b>11,028,168</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>11,028,168</b>	<b>-</b>
	<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u>					
	<u>Direct Programs</u>					
2013-AP-BX-0830	State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP)	16.608	\$ 21,325	\$ -	\$ 21,325	\$ -
			<u>21,325</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>21,325</u>	<u>-</u>
2013-DJ-BX-0189	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	48,318	-	48,318	-
2014-DJ-BX-0263	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	9,632	-	9,632	-
			<u>57,950</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>57,950</u>	<u>-</u>
2010JULWX0024	Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants (Universal Hiring 13 (COPS))	16.710	215,376	361,088	576,475	-
			<u>215,376</u>	<u>361,088</u>	<u>576,475</u>	<u>-</u>
N/A	Asset Forfeiture Program	21.000	816,157	-	816,157	-
N/A	Asset Forfeiture Program	16.922	37,131	-	37,131	-
			<u>853,288</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>853,288</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Justice Direct Programs</b>		<b>1,147,939</b>	<b>361,088</b>	<b>1,509,038</b>	<b>-</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
	<b>Passed Through the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces</b>					
SW-TXS-0910	The Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund OCDEF (Equipment)	N/A	11,640	-	11,640	-
SW-TXS-0968	The Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund OCDEF (Equipment)	N/A	2,322	-	2,322	-
SW-TXS-0910	The Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund OCDEF (Salary)	N/A	9,609	-	9,609	-
SW-TXS-0968	The Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund OCDEF (Salary)	N/A	3,117	-	3,117	-
	<b>Total Passed Through the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces</b>		<b>26,688</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>26,688</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Passed Through the Federal Bureau of Investigations</b>					
281D-SA-C46020	The Department of Justice Assets Forfeiture Fund	N/A	9,948	-	9,948	-
	<b>Total Passed Through the Federal Bureau of Investigations</b>		<b>9,948</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>9,948</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Passed Through the Office of the Governor, Criminal Justice Division</b>					
JB-12-XXX-24528-03	Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG)	16.523	10,913	1,212	12,125	-
JB-12-XXX-24528-04	Juvenile Accountability Block Grants (JABG)	16.523	2,322	258	2,580	-
			13,235	1,470	14,705	-
RT-11-A10-2517502	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	16.593	153,521	-	153,521	-
			153,521	-	153,521	-
DJ-11-A10-2725301	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Hidalgo County Monitoring High Risk Offenders	16.738	22,251	-	22,251	-
DJ-12-A10-2725302	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Hidalgo County Monitoring High Risk Offenders	16.738	7,117	-	7,117	-
			29,368	-	29,368	-
	<b>Total Passed Through the Office of the Governor, Criminal Justice Division</b>		<b>198,124</b>	<b>1,470</b>	<b>197,594</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Passed Through United States Marshals Service</b>					
M-14-D79-0-000188	Fugitive Apprehension Task Force (DOJ-USMS)	N/A	24,553	-	24,553	-
M-15-D79-0-000140	Fugitive Apprehension Task Force (DOJ-USMS)	N/A	3,346	-	3,346	-
			27,899	-	27,899	-
	<b>Total Passed Through the United States Marshals Service</b>		<b>27,899</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>27,899</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Justice</b>		<b>\$ 1,408,588</b>	<b>\$ 362,569</b>	<b>\$ 1,771,167</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>Executive Office of the President for National Drug Control Policy</b>						
<b>Direct Programs</b>						
G12SS0002A	High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program - McAllen Initiative	95.001	\$ 9,368	\$ -	\$ 9,368	\$ -
G13SS0002A	High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program - McAllen Initiative	95.001	189,888	-	189,888	-
G14SS0002A	High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program - McAllen Initiative	95.001	489,296	-	489,296	-
			<u>688,350</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>688,350</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Executive Office of the President for National Drug Control Policy</b>		<b>\$ 688,350</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 688,350</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</b>						
<b>Passed Through Texas Department of State Health Services</b>						
53700-5-0000409898	Strengthening Public Health Services at the Outreach Offices of the US - Mexico Border Health Commission - Border Binational Health Week	93.018	\$ 2,450	\$ -	\$ 2,450	\$ -
53700-4-0000404051	Strengthening Public Health Services at the Outreach Offices of the US - Mexico Border Health Commission - Border Binational Health Week	93.018	3,250	-	3,250	-
			<u>5,700</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5,700</u>	<u>-</u>
2014-001134-00	Public Health Emergency Preparedness - CPS Bioterrorism Preparedness	93.069	391,074	38,107	430,181	-
2015-001134-01	Public Health Emergency Preparedness - CPS Bioterrorism Preparedness	93.069	182,266	18,228	200,494	-
2014-045408-001A	Public Health Emergency Preparedness - PPCPS/PHER	93.069	86,346	9,827	96,173	-
			<u>662,686</u>	<u>67,262</u>	<u>729,948</u>	<u>-</u>
2014-001400-02	Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.118	309,034	68,373	378,407	-
2015-001400-00	Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.118	129,169	25,834	155,003	-
			<u>438,203</u>	<u>95,207</u>	<u>533,410</u>	<u>-</u>
2014-000022-00	Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	519,725	-	519,725	-
2015-000022-00	Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	232,814	-	232,814	-
			<u>752,539</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>752,539</u>	<u>-</u>
2013-043641-001	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283	75	-	75	-
			<u>75</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>-</u>
2014-044665-001	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States - Child Health	93.984	26,176	-	26,176	-
2015-046149-001	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States - Child Health	93.984	3,560	-	3,560	-
2014-044545-001A	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States - Prenatal/Maternity	93.984	119,003	-	119,003	-
			<u>150,739</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>150,739</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Passed Through Texas Department of State Health Services</b>		<b>2,009,942</b>	<b>162,469</b>	<b>2,172,411</b>	<b>-</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
	<u>Passed Through Texas Juvenile Probation Commission</u>					
TJPC-E-2014-108	Foster Care Title IV - E	93.658	373,126	-	373,126	-
TJPC-E-2015-108	Foster Care Title IV - E	93.658	124,212	-	124,212	-
TJPC-E-2014-108	Foster Care Title IV - E	93.658	103,572	-	103,572	-
			<u>600,910</u>	-	<u>600,910</u>	-
	<u>Total Passed Through Texas Juvenile Probation Commission</u>					
			<u>600,910</u>	-	<u>600,910</u>	-
	<u>Passed Through Texas Department of Family &amp; Protective Services</u>					
23941008	Foster Care Title IV - E - Legal Services FY14	93.658	91,488	-	91,488	-
23941008	Foster Care Title IV - E - Child Welfare Services FY14	93.658	14,863	-	14,863	-
			<u>106,351</u>	-	<u>106,351</u>	-
	<u>Total Passed Through Texas Department of Family &amp; Protective Services</u>					
			<u>106,351</u>	-	<u>106,351</u>	-
	<u>Passed Through Office of the Attorney General of Texas</u>					
N/A	Child Support Enforcement	93.563	286,188	-	286,188	-
N/A	Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1,899	-	1,899	-
			<u>288,087</u>	-	<u>288,087</u>	-
	<u>Total Passed Through Office of the Attorney General of Texas</u>					
			<u>288,087</u>	-	<u>288,087</u>	-
	<u>Passed Through Health &amp; Human Services Commission</u>					
N/A	Medical Assistance Program	93.778	271,183	-	271,183	-
			<u>271,183</u>	-	<u>271,183</u>	-
	<u>Total Passed Through Health &amp; Human Services Commission</u>					
			<u>271,183</u>	-	<u>271,183</u>	-
	<u>Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u>					
			<u>\$ 3,276,473</u>	<u>\$ 162,469</u>	<u>\$ 3,438,942</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b>						
<b>Passed Through Division of Emergency Management Office of the Governor</b>						
EMW-2011-SS-0019	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	\$ 439,402	\$ -	\$ 439,402	\$ 341,085
EMW-2011-SS-0019	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	47,880	-	47,880	-
EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	2,023,457	-	2,023,457	1,564,073
EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	8,706	-	8,706	-
EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	556	-	556	-
EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	11,369	-	11,369	-
EMW-2013-SS-00045	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	1,907,713	-	1,907,713	894,633
EMW-2013-SS-00045	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	52,714	-	52,714	-
EMW-2013-SS-00045	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	78,244	-	78,244	-
EMW-2013-SS-00045	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	111,815	-	111,815	-
EMW-2013-SS-00045	Homeland Security Grant Program (Operation Stonegarden)	97.067	121,059	-	121,059	-
			<u>4,802,915</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4,802,915</u>	<u>2,798,791</u>
DR-1999-022	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) - Hazard Mitigation	97.036	45,413	15,138	60,551	-
DR-1797-331	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) - Hazard Mitigation	97.036	98,539	-	98,539	98,539
			<u>143,952</u>	<u>15,138</u>	<u>159,090</u>	<u>98,539</u>
	<b>Total Passed Through Division of Emergency Management Office of the Governor</b>		<u>4,946,867</u>	<u>15,138</u>	<u>4,962,005</u>	<u>2,898,330</u>
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security</b>		<u>\$ 4,946,867</u>	<u>\$ 15,138</u>	<u>\$ 4,962,005</u>	<u>\$ 2,898,330</u>
<b>U.S. Department of Treasury</b>						
<b>Direct Programs</b>						
N/A	Asset Forfeiture Program	21.00X	563,836	-	563,836	\$ -
N/A	Asset Forfeiture Program	21.00X	237,077	-	237,077	\$ -
N/A	Asset Forfeiture Program	21.00X	32,051	-	32,051	-
			<u>832,964</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>832,964</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Treasury</b>		<u>\$ 832,964</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 832,964</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<b>U.S. Elections Assistance Commission</b>						
<b>Passed Through Office of the Secretary of State</b>						
N/A	HAVA Program Income	N/A	43,581	-	43,581	\$ -
			<u>43,581</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>43,581</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Passed Through Office of the Secretary of State</b>		<u>43,581</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>43,581</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total U.S. Elections Assistance Commission</b>		<u>\$ 43,581</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 43,581</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
	<b>TOTAL PRIMARY GOVERNMENT</b>		<u>\$ 22,233,001</u>	<u>\$ 540,176</u>	<u>\$ 22,773,177</u>	<u>\$ 2,898,330</u>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS**  
**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE		LOCAL		TOTAL		PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
			EXPENDITURES	EXPENDITURES	EXPENDITURES	EXPENDITURES			
<b>URBAN COUNTY</b>									
<b>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)</b>									
<b>Direct Programs</b>									
B-02-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 28th Year	14.218	\$ 4,944	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,944	\$ -	\$ -	-
B-03-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 29th Year	14.218	5,289	-	-	5,289	-	-	-
B-04-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 30th Year	14.218	39,997	-	-	39,997	-	-	-
B-05-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 31th Year	14.218	3,625	-	-	3,625	-	-	-
B-06-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 32th Year	14.218	733	-	-	733	-	-	-
B-07-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 33th Year	14.218	72,044	-	-	72,044	-	-	-
B-08-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 34th Year	14.218	244,058	-	-	244,058	-	-	-
B-09-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 35th Year	14.218	94,669	-	-	94,669	-	-	-
B-10-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 36th Year	14.218	515,170	-	-	515,170	-	-	-
B-11-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 37th Year	14.218	983,441	-	68,460	1,051,901	-	-	-
B-12-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 38th Year	14.218	1,371,709	-	18,165	1,389,874	-	-	-
B-13-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 39th Year	14.218	3,351,858	-	158,078	3,507,936	-	-	419,573
B-14-UC-48-0501	Community Development Block Grant - 40th Year	14.218	891,603	-	9,367	700,970	-	-	460,034
			7,379,140	-	252,070	7,631,210	-	-	879,607
M-04-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	71,866	-	-	71,866	-	-	-
M-05-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	99,402	-	-	99,402	-	-	-
M-06-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	39,885	-	-	39,885	-	-	-
M-08-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	41,945	-	-	41,945	-	-	-
M-10-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	34,846	-	-	34,846	-	-	-
M-11-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	1,039,028	-	-	1,039,028	-	-	-
M-12-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	290,000	-	-	290,000	-	-	-
M-13-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	102,389	-	158,434	258,833	-	-	-
M-14-UC-48-0501	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	129,952	-	63,468	193,428	-	-	-
			1,849,333	-	218,900	2,068,233	-	-	-
E-12-UC-48-0501	Emergency Solutions Grant (HESG)	14.231	5,436	-	-	5,436	-	-	5,436
E-13-UC-48-0501	Emergency Solutions Grant (HESG)	14.231	516,742	-	-	516,742	-	-	488,808
E-14-UC-48-0501	Emergency Solutions Grant (HESG)	14.231	101,127	-	-	101,127	-	-	85,981
			823,305	-	-	823,305	-	-	580,203
B-11-JUN-48-0501	Neighborhood Stabilization Program (Recovery Act Funded)	14.218	685,824	-	-	685,824	-	-	-
			685,824	-	-	685,824	-	-	-
	<b>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Direct Programs</b>		10,537,602	-	471,970	11,009,572	-	-	1,459,810
<b>Passed Through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs</b>									
712013	Colonia XXXVII	14.228	85,795	-	-	85,795	-	-	-
			85,795	-	-	85,795	-	-	-
	<b>Total Passed through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs</b>		85,795	-	-	85,795	-	-	-

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
	<u>Passed Through The Texas General Land Office</u>					
DRS010068	DRS XXXII	14.228	379,020	-	379,020	-
DRS210068	DRS XXXVI	14.228	3,231,895	-	3,231,895	-
DRS220068	DRS XXXVII	14.228	183,604	-	183,604	-
			<u>3,794,519</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,794,519</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>Total Passed through Texas General Land Office</u>		<u>3,794,519</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,794,519</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>Passed Through The Texas Department of Agriculture</u>					
713005	Colonia XXXIX	14.228	310,710	-	310,710	-
713045	Colonia XL	14.228	3,028	-	3,028	-
			<u>313,738</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>313,738</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>Total Passed through Texas Department of Agriculture</u>		<u>313,738</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>313,738</u>	<u>-</u>
	<u>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)</u>		<u>\$ 14,731,654</u>	<u>\$ 471,970</u>	<u>\$ 15,203,624</u>	<u>\$ 1,459,810</u>
<b>TOTAL URBAN COUNTY</b>			<b>\$ 14,731,654</b>	<b>\$ 471,970</b>	<b>\$ 15,203,624</b>	<b>\$ 1,459,810</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>HEAD START</b>						
	<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u> <u>Direct Programs</u>					
06CH0183-33	Head Start Program	93.600	\$ 25,607,558 <u>25,607,558</u>	\$ 6,447,328 <u>6,447,328</u>	\$ 32,054,882 <u>32,054,882</u>	\$ -
	Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		\$ 25,607,558	\$ 6,447,328	\$ 32,054,882	\$ -
	<u>US Department of Agriculture</u> <u>Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture</u>					
TX-1080006	Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	\$ 2,065,824	\$ -	\$ 2,065,824	\$ -
TX-1080006	Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	858,111 <u>2,923,935</u>	- <u>-</u>	858,111 <u>2,923,935</u>	- <u>-</u>
	Total Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture		2,923,935	-	2,923,935	-
	Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		\$ 2,923,935	\$ -	\$ 2,923,935	\$ -
	<b>TOTAL HEAD START PROGRAM</b>		\$ 28,531,491	\$ 6,447,328	\$ 34,978,817	\$ -

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY	GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and Community Affairs</b>							
<b>Passed Through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs</b>							
	58140001789	Low Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568	\$ 3,280,588	-	\$ 3,280,588	\$ -
	58130001960	Low Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568	1,082,700	-	1,082,700	-
				4,373,288	-	4,373,288	-
	61130001583	Community Services Block Grant	93.569	804,359	-	804,359	-
	81140001850	Community Services Block Grant	93.569	854,167	-	854,167	-
				1,758,526	-	1,758,526	-
		<b>Total Passed Through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs</b>		<b>6,131,814</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>6,131,814</b>	<b>-</b>
		<b>Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and Community Affairs</b>		<b>\$ 6,131,814</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 6,131,814</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Corporation For National and Community Services</b>							
<b>Direct Programs</b>							
	11SRWTX018-3	Retired Senior Volunteer Program	94.002	\$ 38,251	-	\$ 38,251	\$ -
	14SRWTX001-2	Retired Senior Volunteer Program	94.002	45,000	-	45,000	-
				83,251	-	83,251	-
		<b>Total Corporation For National and Community Services Direct Programs</b>		<b>83,251</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>83,251</b>	<b>-</b>
		<b>Passed Through Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services</b>					
	13RZWTX018-1	Retired Senior Volunteer Program	94.002	25,660	-	25,660	-
	13RZWTX018-2	Retired Senior Volunteer Program	94.002	8,408	-	8,408	-
				35,068	-	35,068	-
		<b>Total Passed Through Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services</b>		<b>35,068</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>35,068</b>	<b>-</b>
		<b>Total Corporation For National and Community Services</b>		<b>\$ 118,319</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 118,319</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
		<b>TOTAL COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY</b>		<b>\$ 6,250,133</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 6,250,133</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
		<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS</b>		<b>\$ 71,746,279</b>	<b>\$ 7,459,472</b>	<b>\$ 79,205,751</b>	<b>\$ 4,368,140</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>STATE AWARDS</b>						
<b>PRIMARY GOVERNMENT</b>						
	<u>District Courts</u>					
	<u>Direct Programs</u>					
N/A	Asset Forfeiture HB85 - Chapter 59 DA	N/A	\$ 489,411	\$ -	\$ 489,411	\$ -
N/A	Asset Forfeiture HB85 - Chapter 59 Sheriff's Office	N/A	384,853	-	384,853	-
N/A	Asset Forfeiture HB85 - Chapter 59 Constable Pct #3	N/A	1,456	-	1,456	-
N/A	Asset Forfeiture HB85 - Chapter 59 Constable Pct #4	N/A	1,060	-	1,060	-
			<u>876,780</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>876,780</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total District Courts</b>		<b>\$ 876,780</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 876,780</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
	<u>Division of Emergency Management Office of the Governor</u>					
	<u>Passed through the Texas Border Sheriff's Coalition</u>					
LBS-13-HIDALGO	Local Border Security Program	N/A	1,528	-	1,528	-
			<u>1,528</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,528</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Passed Through the Texas Border Sheriff's Coalition</b>		<b>1,528</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,528</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Total Division of Emergency Management Office of the Governor</b>		<b>1,528</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,528</b>	<b>-</b>
	<u>Division of Criminal Justice Office of the Governor</u>					
CH-14-A10-2537803	Border Prosecution Initiative	N/A	146,189	-	146,189	-
CH-15-A10-2537804	Border Prosecution Initiative	N/A	69,331	-	69,331	-
DC-14-A10-2413904	Hidalgo County DWI Court	N/A	119,700	-	119,700	-
DC-15-A10-2413905	Hidalgo County DWI Court	N/A	57,786	-	57,786	-
DC-14-A10-2431503	Hidalgo County Veterans Court	N/A	56,884	-	56,884	-
DC-15-A10-2431504	Hidalgo County Veterans Court	N/A	30,367	-	30,367	-
SF-14-A10-27520-01	Hidalgo County Prostitution Court	N/A	55,480	-	55,480	-
SF-15-A10-27520-02	Hidalgo County Prostitution Court	N/A	31,665	-	31,665	-
SF-15-A10-28003-01	Hidalgo County Re-Entry Court	N/A	37,885	-	37,885	-
			<u>605,087</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>605,087</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Division of Criminal Justice Office of the Governor</b>		<b>605,087</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>605,087</b>	<b>-</b>
	<u>Texas Rangers Division</u>					
	<u>Texas Department of Public Safety</u>					
LBS-14-0002	Local Border Security Program FY14	N/A	173,200	-	173,200	-
LBS-14-0028	Local Border Security Program FY14	N/A	39,540	-	39,540	-
LBS-14-0029	Local Border Security Program FY14	N/A	14,444	-	14,444	-
LBS-13-27	Local Border Security Program FY13	N/A	5,978	-	5,978	-
LBS-14-0030	Local Border Security Program FY14	N/A	86,715	-	86,715	-
LBS-13-26	Local Border Security Program FY13	N/A	5,782	-	5,782	-
LBS-14-0031	Local Border Security Program FY14	N/A	74,459	-	74,459	-
			<u>400,118</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>400,118</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Rangers Division</b>		<b>400,118</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>400,118</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Total Division of Public Safety</b>		<b>605,087</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>605,087</b>	<b>-</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<b>Texas Department of State Health Services</b>						
N/A	Health Department - Administration	N/A	\$ 96,416	\$ -	\$ 96,416	\$ -
2014-001052-00	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	N/A	92,748	-	92,748	-
2015-001052-00	Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	N/A	29,319	-	29,319	-
2014-001417-00	Tuberculosis Prevention and Control - State	N/A	470,875	112,804	583,679	-
2015-001417-00	Tuberculosis Prevention and Control - State	N/A	198,233	37,221	235,454	-
2015-046009-001	Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States - Prenatal/Maternity	N/A	36,390	-	36,390	-
2014-004531-00	Infectious Disease control Unit/Foodborne Associated Infections Interviews	N/A	22,681	-	22,681	-
2015-0046497-00	Infectious Disease control Unit/Foodborne Associated Infections Interviews	N/A	19,845	-	19,845	-
			868,605	150,025	1,118,630	-
	<b>Total Texas Department State Health Services</b>		<b>\$ 868,605</b>	<b>\$ 150,025</b>	<b>\$ 1,118,630</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Texas Adult Probation Commission</b>						
108-900	Basic Supervision	N/A	5,812,040	-	5,812,040	-
108-900	Basic Supervision	N/A	2,946,844	-	2,946,844	-
108-013	Mentally Impaired Caseload	N/A	119,490	-	119,490	-
108-013	Mentally Impaired Caseload	N/A	60,162	-	60,162	-
108-006	Treatment Alternatives to Incarceration Program	N/A	115,539	-	115,539	-
108-006	Treatment Alternatives to Incarceration Program	N/A	47,857	-	47,857	-
108-014	Drug Court	N/A	126,247	-	126,247	-
108-014	Drug Court	N/A	65,549	-	65,549	-
108-007	Sex Offender Caseload	N/A	329,848	-	329,848	-
108-007	Sex Offender Caseload	N/A	167,178	-	167,178	-
108-017	Substance Abuse Treatment Facility	N/A	1,286,488	-	1,286,488	-
108-017	Substance Abuse Treatment Facility	N/A	623,859	-	623,859	-
108-020	Aftercare Services	N/A	176,752	-	176,752	-
108-020	Aftercare Services	N/A	62,569	-	62,569	-
108-025	CCP_HRP	N/A	69,607	-	69,607	-
108-025	CCP_HRP	N/A	34,492	-	34,492	-
108-027	CCP_HRP	N/A	158,018	-	158,018	-
108-027	CCP_HRP	N/A	79,227	-	79,227	-
108-026	CCP Employment	N/A	42,058	-	42,058	-
108-026	CCP Employment	N/A	17,538	-	17,538	-
108-900	Transfer Out - RSAT Match	N/A	27,766	-	27,766	-
108-900	Transfer Out - RSAT Match	N/A	3,553	-	3,553	-
			12,372,681	-	12,372,681	-
	<b>Total Texas Adult Probation Commission</b>		<b>\$ 12,372,681</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 12,372,681</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
<u>Texas Juvenile Probation Commission</u>						
TJPC-M-2014-108	Special Needs (TCOM) Funding	N/A	\$ 64,758	\$ -	\$ 64,758	\$ -
TJPC-M-2015-108	Special Needs (TCOM) Funding	N/A	33,228	-	33,228	-
TJPC-A-2013-108	State Aid	N/A	24,119	-	24,119	-
TJPC-A-2014-108	State Aid	N/A	1,508,449	-	1,508,449	-
TJPC-A-2014-108	State Aid	N/A	1,231,805	-	1,231,805	-
TJPC-A-2015-108	State Aid	N/A	1,711	-	1,711	-
TJPC-P-2014-108	Juvenile Justice Alternative Education	N/A	192,812	-	192,812	-
TJPC-P-2015-108	Juvenile Justice Alternative Education	N/A	95,632	-	95,632	-
TJPC-C-2014-108	Commitment Reduction Program	N/A	250,802	-	250,802	-
TJPC-C-2015-108	Commitment Reduction Program	N/A	101,021	-	101,021	-
TJPC-N-2014-108	Commitment Reduction Program	N/A	215,478	-	215,478	-
TJPC-N-2015-108	Commitment Reduction Program	N/A	100,792	-	100,792	-
			<u>3,818,607</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,818,607</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Texas Juvenile Probation Commission</b>		<b>\$ 3,818,607</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 3,818,607</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<u>Texas Department of Criminal Justice</u>						
N/A	Offender Transportation Program	N/A	77,974	-	77,974	-
			<u>77,974</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>77,974</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Texas Department of Criminal Justice</b>		<b>\$ 77,974</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 77,974</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<u>Texas State Comptroller's Office</u>						
2-459-78-2965-4008	District Attorney State Supplement	N/A	14,931	-	14,931	-
2-459-78-2965-4008	District Attorney State Supplement	N/A	7,370	-	7,370	-
N/A	Juror Reimbursement	N/A	443,282	-	443,282	-
			<u>485,583</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>485,583</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Texas State Comptroller's Office</b>		<b>\$ 485,583</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 485,583</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<u>Texas Department of Transportation</u>						
88CF5001	Border Colonia Access Program Round III	N/A	655,091	-	655,091	-
			<u>655,091</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>655,091</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Texas Department of Transportation</b>		<b>\$ 655,091</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 655,091</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<u>Texas Task Force on Indigent Defense</u>						
212-24-D09	Discretionary Grant FY2014 - Texas Indigent Defense Commission	N/A	90,322	96,551	186,873	\$ -
212-25-D09	Discretionary Grant FY2015 - Texas Indigent Defense Commission	N/A	16,871	42,674	59,545	-
212-11-108	Formula Grant FY 14 / Equalization FY 14	N/A	1,028,534	-	1,028,534	-
			<u>1,135,727</u>	<u>139,225</u>	<u>1,274,952</u>	<u>-</u>
	<b>Total Texas Task Force on Indigent Defense</b>		<b>\$ 1,135,727</b>	<b>\$ 139,225</b>	<b>\$ 1,274,952</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

GRANT NUMBER	FEDERAL GRANTOR/ PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR/ STATE GRANTOR/ PROGRAM TITLE	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL/STATE EXPENDITURES	LOCAL EXPENDITURES	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	PASSED THROUGH AMOUNTS TO SUB-RECIPIENTS
	<u>Texas Secretary of State</u>					
N/A	2012 Voter Registration Section 19.002	N/A	\$ 87,865	\$ -	\$ 87,865	\$ -
N/A	2013 Voter Registration Section 19.002	N/A	2,633	-	2,633	-
			<u>90,498</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>90,498</u>	<u>-</u>
	Total Texas Secretary of State		\$ 90,498	\$ -	\$ 90,498	\$ -
	<u>Texas Veterans Commission</u>					
FVA-14B-0181	Texas Veterans Commission Grant	N/A	\$ 34,447	\$ -	\$ 34,447	\$ -
			<u>34,447</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>34,447</u>	<u>-</u>
	Total Texas Veterans Commission		\$ 34,447	\$ -	\$ 34,447	\$ -
	<u>Office of the Attorney General</u>					
1445766	Texas Vine	N/A	\$ 20,787	\$ -	\$ 20,787	\$ -
1554814	Texas Vine	N/A	6,929	-	6,929	-
N/A	Asset Forfeiture (Article 59.06)	N/A	48,286	-	48,286	-
N/A	Asset Forfeiture (Other State Sharing)	N/A	225	-	225	-
			<u>76,227</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>76,227</u>	<u>-</u>
	Total Office of the Attorney General		\$ 76,227	\$ -	\$ 76,227	\$ -
	<u>Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council</u>					
N/A	911 Program	N/A	\$ 16,368	\$ -	\$ 16,368	\$ -
			<u>16,368</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>16,368</u>	<u>-</u>
	Total Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council		\$ 16,368	\$ -	\$ 16,368	\$ -
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS		\$ 21,593,331	\$ 289,250	\$ 21,882,581	\$ -
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS		\$ 93,339,610	\$ 7,746,722	\$ 101,086,332	\$ 4,358,140

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF  
FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**General**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards present the federal and state grant activity for the County of Hidalgo, Texas (the County). The reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's financial statements.

**Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 of the County's notes to the financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations* and the *State of Texas Single Audit Circular*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the financial statements.

**Relationship to Federal and State Financial Reports**

Amounts reported in the accompanying schedule may not agree with the amounts reported in the related Federal and State financial reports filed with the grantor agencies because of accruals made in the schedule which will be included in future reports filed with agencies.

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF  
FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS - CONTINUED  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**Reconciliation of Schedule of Federal Awards to Comprehensive Annual Financial Report**

The following is a reconciliation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) to the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance within the County of Hidalgo, Texas Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR):

**Primary Government**

Primary Government Federal Expenditures per SEFA	\$	22,233,001
Primary Government State Expenditures per SEFA		21,593,331
		43,826,332
Add: Local Expenditures		829,426
		44,655,758
Primary Government per CAFR	\$	44,655,758

**Urban County**

Urban County Expenditure per SEFA	\$	14,731,654
Add: Local Expenditures		471,970
		15,203,624
Urban County Expenditure per CAFR	\$	15,203,624

**Head Start Program**

Head Start Expenditure per SEFA	\$	28,531,491
Add: Local Expenditures		6,447,326
		34,978,817
Head Start Expenditure per CAFR	\$	34,978,817

**Community Services Agency**

Community Services Agency Expenditure per SEFA	\$	6,250,133
Add: Non-Federal Expenditures		89,304
		6,339,437
Community Services Agency per CAFR	\$	6,339,437



**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>State Awards</b>	
Internal control of major programs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Material weakness(es) identified?</li> <li>• Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?</li> </ul>	No  No
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with the <i>State of Texas Single Audit Circular</i> ?	No
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$656,477
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	No
Identification of Major State Programs:	<p>Texas Adult Probation Commission            Basic Supervision            Mentally Impaired Caseload            Treatment Alternatives to Incarceration Program            Drug Court            Sex Offender Caseload            Substance Abuse Treatment Facility            Aftercare Services            CCP HRP            CCP Employment            Transfer Out – RSAT Match</p> <p>Texas Juvenile Probation Commission            State Aid            Special Needs (TCOMI) Funding            Juvenile Justice Alternative Education            Commitment Reduction Program</p> <p>Texas Task Force on Indigent Defense            Texas Indigent Defense Commission            Formula Grant FY 14 / Equalization FY 14</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results</b>	<b>Description</b>
Identification of Major State Programs (Continued):	Texas Department State Health Services Health Department - Administration Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant Tuberculosis Prevention and Control- State Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States – Prenatal/Maternity Infectious Disease Control Unit/ Foodborne Associated Infections Interviews  District Courts Asset Forfeiture HB65

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Section II – Findings and Questioned Costs  Financial Statement	Description
<p><b>2014 – 001</b> <b>Board Minutes -</b> <b>Community Service Agency</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> Community Service Agency (CSA) could not provide either "DRAFT" or "APPROVED" minutes from the meetings of the Board of Directors.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> CSA failed to adhere to Article III, Section III [E][3][h], Article IV, Section X, Article X and Article XI of the agency's bylaws.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the bylaws governing the agency be adhered to.</p> <p><b><u>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</u></b> New recording and record keeping is being controlled by Administrative Assistant. At the conclusion of Advisory Board, minutes are collected, organized and filed with both hard copy and scan. Upon next audit engagement, board minutes will be readily available to hand over.</p>
<p><b>2014 – 002</b> <b>Payroll Internal Controls -</b> <b>Community Service Agency</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> Five pay periods were selected for testing of key internal controls. The following exceptions were noted: 1) in all five instances complete timesheets could not be provided 2) one time sheet did not include supervisor's approval and 3) one of the five pay periods did not include management's approval to process payroll.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> CSA failed to follow proper internal controls when processing payroll.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend internal controls be properly followed when processing payroll.</p> <p><b><u>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</u></b> CHCSA fiscal policies will be reviewed and amended for better controls in processing and authorization of payroll functions. Payroll Specialist will perform a complete review of bi-weekly timesheets and ensure that timesheets are both complete and filled with necessary detail, and also ensure that timesheets are signed by the respective department head, and executive director.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs  Financial Statement	Description
<p><b>2014 – 003</b> <b>Expenditures Internal Controls -</b> <b>Community Service Agency</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> 60 expenditures were selected for testing key internal controls. The following exceptions were noted: 1) 44 instances where management's approval to print checks was not obtained and 2) three instances where expense reimbursements did not document management's approval.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> CSA failed to follow proper internal controls when processing expenditures.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend internal controls be properly followed when processing expenditures.</p> <p><b><u>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</u></b> As of March of 2015, CHCSA Fiscal has attached signed Accounts Payable Invoices to expense vouchers. Accounts Payable Invoices are signed by either Executive Director, or Administrative Operations Manager. In addition, any expense reimbursement, such as travel, will have authorized signatures of management before a check is even considered for processing.</p>
<p><b>2014 – 004</b> <b>Expenditures -</b> <b>Community Service Agency</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> CSA could not provide evidence to substantiate cash drawn from the RSVP grant funds in the amount of \$13,285.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> CSA failed to adhere to or implement procedures to maintain support documentation for expenditures submitted and/or funds drawn from open grant contracts.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$13,285</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the agency adhere to or implement procedures to maintain appropriate and complete records for expenditures submitted and/or fund drawn from open grant contracts.</p> <p><b><u>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</u></b> CHCSA will maintain detailed support for the request of any funds. In addition, controls will be put in place to ensure that in the event that the authorized reporting officer resigns, that the new officer is aware what has been reported, and what has been drawn, in order to prevent disjointed financial reporting.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs	
Federal Awards	Description
<p><b>2014 – 005</b>  <b>Eligibility Internal Controls -</b>  <b>Community Service Agency</b>            CFDA #93.568 – Low Income Home Energy Assistance</p>	<p><b>Criteria and Condition:</b> Testing of eligibility for the CEAP program revealed nine instances out of 40 where the Declaration of Income Statement was not signed by management as required per internal control procedures.</p> <p><b>Cause and Effect:</b> CSA failed to follow proper internal controls when determining eligibility.</p> <p><b>Questioned Cost:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We recommend internal controls be properly followed when determining eligibility.</p> <p><b>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action</b> As per change in TDHCA policy, sub-recipients are to use a revised DIS. In this revised DIS, a signature is no longer required from a management official. CHCSA will retain a copy of these instructions for support in subsequent monitorings.</p>
<p><b>2014 – 006</b>  <b>Reporting -</b>  <b>Community Service Agency</b>            CFDA #93.568 – Low Income Home Energy Assistance</p>	<p><b>Criteria and Condition:</b> Provisions of the CEAP grant require CSA to submit an inventory of all vehicles and equipment by the 45th day after the contract term. CSA has not provided such listing to the granting agency.</p> <p><b>Cause and Effect:</b> CSA does not have controls in place to ensure an inventory observation is performed annually and provided to the granting agency.</p> <p><b>Questioned Cost:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We recommend CSA implement procedures to maintain appropriate and complete records for inventory and submit reports timely.</p> <p><b>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</b> CHCSA has implemented a bar code system of up-to-date inventory to track all current inventory and new inventory items. A report will be sent to each grantor agency for the current fiscal year of inventory and equipment. Fiscal policies will be reviewed and amended to include specific requirements of each grant and reporting responsibilities.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs	
Federal Awards	Description
<p><b>2014 – 007</b>  <b>Cash Management –</b>  <b>Urban County</b>            CFDA #14.218 Community Development Block Grant</p>	<p><b>Criteria and Condition:</b> Noted costs incurred under CDBG State (CFDA #14.228) are being included on the reimbursement requests for CDBG (CFDA#14.218). CDBG is requested directly from HUD and is paid three days after the reimbursement request is submitted. CDBG State is requested from the State, which is the pass-through agency, and is typically paid 30 days or more after the reimbursement request is submitted. For cash flow purposes to be able to have sufficient cash for operations, Urban County Program requests funds for CDBG State expenditures under CDBG Entitlement. A request is also submitted for these same costs under CDBG State. A future CDBG Entitlement reimbursement request is then reduced by the amount that was previously requested.</p> <p><b>Cause and Effect:</b> Urban County is improperly requesting CDBG State grant costs reimbursements from CDBG Entitlement grant.</p> <p><b>Questioned Cost:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> We recommend Urban County report proper expenditures under the appropriate grant or obtain granting agencies approval to continue as described above.</p> <p><b>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</b> The practice of paying Urban County Program staff using CDBG funds and awaiting reimbursement from other sources to credit the CDBG fund has been in place since the inception of the program. Upon receipt of reimbursement from the State funded grants, Urban County reports the properly balanced expenditures to the appropriate grant as indicated in the previous audits. In order to change this practice, the Hidalgo County Commissioners' Court will need to authorize funding from the General Fund to pay for all upfront costs associated with project administration for grants operating strictly on a reimbursement basis.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs	
Federal Awards	Description
<p><b>2014 – 008</b> Reporting – Urban County CFDA #14.228 CDBG State Administered Grants</p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> Provisions of the CDBG State grants require Urban County to submit quarterly progress reports no later than the 20th day of the month following the end of the quarter. Quarterly progress report for Contract # 713005, covering October to December 2014, was not submitted timely.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> Urban County did not adhere to the reporting requirements per grant agreement.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend Urban County implement controls to ensure all reports are submitted timely per grant provisions.</p> <p><b><u>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</u></b> Out of the Quarterly reports required for Contract #713005, UCP submitted 1 report 35 days after the due date. Report was accepted by the State.</p>
<p><b>2014 – 009</b> Eligibility – W.I.C. (Primary Government) CFDA #10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children</p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> 60 participants were tested for eligibility; the following exceptions were noted: 1) one instance where a WIC official did not sign/certify Form 35-1 noting participant met eligibility requirements and 2) one instance where participant did not meet the age requirement for assessed need.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> WIC failed to adhere to procedures related to eligibility requirements.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend WIC implement controls to ensure all reports are submitted timely per grant provisions.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs</b>	
<b>Federal Awards</b>	<b>Description</b>
<p><b>2014-009 – (Continued)</b>  <b>Eligibility –</b>  <b>W.I.C.</b>  <b>(Primary Government)</b>            CFDA #10.557 Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children</p>	<p><b>Management Response and Planned Corrective Action:</b> Hidalgo County WIC Program is taking the following measures to reduce errors: 1) WIC staff review records to meet compliance standards set by Texas Department of State Health Services Quality Assurance Women, Infants and Children (WIC) Clinical Tool. Records are reviewed on a daily basis before filing records to ensure records are complete and correct. 2) Clinic staff also audits ten records quarterly. Record reviews are documented on the State Agency Review Tools. It is recommended to review a minimum of three records for each criterion monthly. Twenty-three criteria are reviewed from active records. 3) Clinic staff submits Record Review Tool worksheets. Reports are submitted within the first ten days of the month following the third month in the quarter. Findings are summarized in all areas. A Plan of Action is included to address findings and list specific training provided to address findings. 4) Monitoring of clinic sites are reviewed annually by the Area Coordinators. The Quality Assurance Committee develops a schedule for the fiscal year. Clinic sites are divided into three areas: East, Central, and West. Each area has an Area Coordinator who is responsible for reviewing and overseeing the activities of these clinic sites. 5) Area Coordinators complete a report summarizing findings along with recommendations. The clinic supervisors validate the findings and respond with corrective action to be taken. This response is submitted in writing to the WIC Director within one month from the date the report was presented. 6) A follow-up visit is conducted at the clinic site within six weeks to re-evaluate and observe the implementation of corrective actions. 7) Peer Self-Audits are conducted annually in September. Clinic supervisors are assigned a clinic to complete a review of clinical operations. Review tools and a summary are submitted after completing the Peer Self-Audit. 8) Findings from all reviews are discussed with the Quality Assurance Committee to develop appropriate staff training for the fiscal year.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>Section IV – Contact Persons Regarding Findings and Questioned Costs</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Primary Government</b>	Raymundo Eufrazio, County Auditor Hidalgo County Auditor's Office 2808 S. Business Hwy 281 Edinburg, Texas 78539 (956) 383-2511 (956) 383-2577(fax)

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

REFERENCE NUMBER  Program	Description
<p><b>2006 – 001 District Clerk Bond Funds Held Pending Judgment (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> The District Clerk does not maintain a listing that designates the current status of court cases for which bond monies have been received and held pending final outcome. Bond funds held Pending judgment are held long after court cases have been tried and are not disposed of timely.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> The County does not have a policy to monitor the status of court cases for which bond funds have been received. Amounts not accounted for nor resolved timely are at a higher risk for misappropriation.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the District Clerk research the status of all cases for which bond money is held. Forfeited bonds should be transferred to the County Treasurer's Office. Bond funds that should have been released to individuals should be held pending notification of that individual. If no response is received from the individual, the County should send bond funds to the State Comptroller's Office in accordance with State Escheat Laws.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The District Clerk's Office continues to work diligently to disburse bond funds accordingly. In addition to prior year's efforts, the Registry &amp; Trust Division has generated correspondence to notify individuals regarding any pending criminal cash bonds, sureties, social studies and/or attorney ad-litem fees. This notification has proven to be effective resulting in the increased number of released bond funds to individuals.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>REFERENCE NUMBER</b>	
<b>Program</b>	<b>Description</b>
<p><b>2007 – 001</b> <b>Previous Year's Finding</b> <b>(Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> Certain observations previously reported in prior years have not been addressed and resolved by management.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> Management has not monitored for resolution of repeat comments thus causing opportunities for improvements in operations and internal controls to not be implemented timely.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Costs:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> To ensure the integrity of the internal control structure as well as to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the operations of the County, we recommend reportable conditions and other comments and observations be addressed by management timely.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The Department of Budget and Management will be responsible for assisting non-compliant departments/offices in preparing a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) and shall report the progress of each CAP to the Commissioners' Court Executive Officer on a quarterly basis. The Commissioners' Court Executive Officer will advise the Commissioners Court of those CAP's that will require a timeframe of longer than one fiscal year to correct or have not been corrected timely.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

REFERENCE NUMBER	
Program	Description
<p><b>2007 – 003 Infrastructure Depreciation (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> The County contracted with an engineering firm to assist in the accumulation of assets and infrastructure information to be used by the County in the implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34. The information included in the report prepared by the contracted firm differs from the County's internal reports by approximately seven percent.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> The County had not reconciled the two reports to ensure that fixed assets and corresponding depreciation are reasonably stated.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Costs:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the County resolve this variance between the reports as these differences could affect the amount of recorded accumulated depreciation.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> In 2014, the County Auditor's Office Accounting Division started to evaluate the infrastructure assets related to the Road Districts. We obtained maps for each of the road districts and have a list of all the roads within each district. The identification of the roads has been very time consuming since no limits are indicated and some road names have changed. We are still researching the roads. We hope to have a portion completed during 2015 and to complete the rest during 2016.</p>
<p><b>2008 – 002 Machinery &amp; Equipment Asset Listing (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> The listing of machinery and equipment provided by the County was incomplete and did not reconcile to the general ledger.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> The County does not have a complete listing of machinery and equipment which leads to an increased risk of theft or misstatement.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the County have a complete listing of assets that make up machinery and equipment.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> For 2014, the Purchasing Department Fixed Asset Manager started reconciling the FIS Module to the FAS Module. This will be a process that will take time to complete. Currently the FIS and FAS Modules are being reconciled through July 31, 2015. Any discrepancies will be forwarded to the County Auditor's Office Accounting Division.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

REFERENCE NUMBER	
Program	Description
<p><b>2012 – 001 Capital Assets Internal Audit Results (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> In 2012 and 2013, the Internal Auditors completed internal audits of capital assets. These audits concluded that the capital asset listings were inaccurate and incomplete.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> The County does not have an approved capital asset policy to address the accuracy and completeness of capital assets.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> The County should implement proper policies/procedures to address the accuracy and completeness of capital assets.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The Purchasing Department had the Fixed Assets Policies and Procedures Manual approved in 2014. A revised 2015 edition was approved on March 17, 2015.</p>
<p><b>2013 – 001 Overall (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> The County requires that all employees, regardless of exempt or non-exempt status, clock in and out. However, during our discussion with Internal Audit it was noted that not all exempt employees are clocking in and out.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> Exempt employees are not clocking in and out as required by policy; therefore, may be paid for time not worked.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the Biometric Electronic Clock policy be enforced to require all employees to clock-in and out.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The Biometric Clock Electronic Clock policy was approved by Commissioners' Court on June 11, 2013. In reviewing the policy, you will note that it includes a statement on public integrity, reiterates county work hours, and affirms the notion that "employees should not be paid for time they do not work". "All employees are required to "clock in" in the morning and "clock out" during their lunch hour, "clock in" upon return to work, "clock out" anytime they leave the office for more than twenty (20) minutes for non-work related matters, and "clock out" at the end of the workday." The policy does not distinguish between exempt or non-exempt (FLSA or Civil Service). Therefore, unless an exception is approved by the Executive Officer, all Department exempt employees are required to adhere to the Biometric Electronic Clock Policy.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

REFERENCE NUMBER	
Program	Description
<p><b>2013 – 002</b> <b>Overall</b> <b>(Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> In review of bank reconciliations at December 31, 2013, we noted that many reconciliations were prepared and reviewed in March or April 2014.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> Untimely preparation and review of bank reconciliations can make the County vulnerable to error or fraud.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend County personnel prepare and review all bank reconciliations timely.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The County Treasurer's Office and the County Auditor's Office have worked together to improve the preparation and review of the bank reconciliations. We will continue the joint effort to maintain timely preparation and review of the bank reconciliations.</p>
<p><b>2013 – 003</b> <b>Treasurers</b> <b>(Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> In review of Bail Bonds - Deeds of Trust as collateral, we noted that the County does not perform tests or review to determine if property, which is being held as collateral, has not been erroneously sold or pledged.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> The County could not have proper collateral on Bail Bonds.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> We recommend the County implement a review to determine that property held as collateral has not been sold or pledged.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> The County Treasurer, as trustee of funds used for bail bonds, reviews annually the certificate of deposits pledged to Hidalgo County as collateral. The County Sheriff is the trustee of property (Deeds of Trust) used for bail bonds. Currently no review is performed to determine that property held as collateral has not been sold or pledged. We will implement a review of property pledged to Hidalgo County as collateral for bail bonds via the Hidalgo County Clerk's Office annually to insure proper collateral is pledged.</p>

**COUNTY OF HIDALGO, TEXAS  
 SCHEDULE OF STATUS OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

<b>REFERENCE NUMBER</b>	
<b>Program</b>	<b>Description</b>
<p><b>2013 – 004            Equipment Management –            Adult Probation            (Primary Government)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Criteria and Condition:</u></b> Provisions of the grant require the Hidalgo County Adult Probation to take a physical inventory of equipment at least once every two years and reconcile to equipment records. The Hidalgo County Adult Probation has not performed a 100% physical inventory in the past two years.</p> <p><b><u>Cause and Effect:</u></b> Adult Probation does not have controls in place to ensure that count is performed per grant agreement. Lack of physical inventory of equipment gives rise to the risk of misappropriation of assets.</p> <p><b><u>Questioned Cost:</u></b> \$0</p> <p><b><u>Recommendation:</u></b> Hidalgo County Adult Probation should implement controls to ensure inventory of equipment is done at least once every two years per grant provisions.</p> <p><b><u>Status:</u></b> Physical inventory was taken in 2014, in compliance with grant agreement.</p>